ABSTRACT

The opening of equity markets to foreign investors provides financing opportunities and alters the stock ownership structure for firms in these markets. In this paper, we study the effects of equity market opening on firm’s earnings management. Using international firm-level data, we find a significantly positive effect of equity market opening on firms’ income-increasing earnings management. We show that there are substantial heterogeneous effects across industries and firms. The positive effect is more pronounced in industries that are more dependent on external financing and firms that are financially constrained, suggesting that firms’ need for equity finance contributes to income-increasing earnings management behaviors. In addition, the effect is weaker in the presence of BigN auditors, indicating the monitoring effect of relatively more reputable auditors. Overall, our results suggest that incentives to attract financing when a country opens its equity market to foreign investors have a detrimental effect on domestic firms’ reporting bias.
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1. Introduction

In the past three decades, a growing number of emerging economies have gradually lifted controls on their stock markets, allowing foreign investors to directly invest - at least partially - in the equity shares of local firms. The opening of markets to foreign capital is an important phenomenon in an increasingly globalized world and many studies have examined its implication for both the country’s economy and individual firms within the country (e.g. Bekaert et al., 2005; Bonfiglioli, 2008; Gupta and Yuan, 2009; Chan and Kwok, 2017). Not surprisingly, the availability of foreign capital to domestic equity markets brings significant financing opportunities to domestic firms since for many open economies, foreign investors contribute to a significant proportion of investment in their capital markets (Kim and Wei, 2002; Edison and Warnock, 2003). Financing opportunities are vitally important for managers to promote firm growth, especially for firms that are financially constrained (Ayyagari et al., 2008). From an information perspective, equity market opening creates disruption to the existing equilibrium in that there is now a new investor clientele (i.e., foreign investors) who demand information to reduce the adverse selection before investing in the firms within the country (Covrig et al., 2007; DeFond et al., 2011). In face of the potential to attract foreign capital and information demand from foreign investors, a firm in a country that opens its equity markets faces an important decision as to how to report its earnings, especially when it can exercise discretion to manage the reported numbers (Fischer and Verrecchia, 2000; Healy and Palepu, 2001; Leuz et al., 2003).

On one hand, the desire to appear as a more attractive investment might lead firms to engage in upward earnings management, since firms that look more profitable are generally perceived as having more growth opportunities and better investments (Haugen and Baker, 1996). In other words, equity market openness could give rise to incentives of upward earnings management for
the firm to exploit these growth or investment opportunities, especially when the existing shareholder group wishes to impress the prospective foreign shareholder group with the firm's past performance (Dye, 1988). On the other hand, one might expect equity market opening to result in less upward earnings management, since inflating current earnings could lead to significant pressure to meet elevated market expectations of future earnings and prior studies have documented significant problems, e.g., pressure to commit fraud and significant stock price declines, that could result from failure to meet earnings expectations (Graham et al., 2005). This problem is exacerbated by future reversals of upward managed earnings putting downward pressure on earnings in the future (DeFond and Park, 2001). Consequently, whether firms engage in upward earnings management after equity market opening remains an open, empirical question; there is also likely to be significant heterogeneity in this relation depending on country-, industry- and firm-level conditions.

Our paper provides evidence on how and to what extent equity market openness influences firms’ earnings management. We exploit shocks to the availability of external equity finance and examine the impact of equity market openness\(^1\) on firm’s earnings management behavior of 64 countries during the period from 1989 to 2014. The use of shocks helps to alleviate concerns of endogeneity. For example, endogeneity might arise because external financing and earnings management are corporate decisions that could be arise because of some underlying firm characteristic such as growth/investment opportunity and corporate governance mechanisms.\(^2\)

---

\(^1\) Tracing back to Henry (2000) several similar but different concepts related to equity market openness appeared in the literature, for example, financial openness, financial integration, equity (stock) market liberalization. Here we focus on the concept of regulatory openness in the equity market that has made equity shares become available to foreign investors.

\(^2\) There are several firm characteristics that may be difficult to measure or fully control for using publicly available data. For example, several studies find well-designed corporate governance arrangements can constrain earnings management (e.g., Beasley, 1996; Dechow et al., 1996; Klein, 2002; Warfield et al., 1995) and there is a large literature that examines how such mechanisms affect external financing (e.g., Doidge et al., 2007; Francis et al., 2005b; Williamson, 1988).
There could also be reverse causality because actual or expected earnings management could affect the availability of external financing. There is significant evidence that potential and current investors, as well other stakeholders, expect/anticipate earnings management (e.g., Erickson and Wang, 1999; Burgstahler and Eames, 2003; Graham et al., 2005; Shivakumar, 2000).

We find that equity market opening is significantly positively related to firms’ upward earnings management. This result is not driven by cross-country differences in GDP growth and is independent of concurrent trade liberalizations. Furthermore, the earnings management finding is robust to a variety of alternative specifications, and is concentrated in the year of equity market opening. We further show that equity market openness increases discretionary accruals disproportionately more in sectors dependent on external finance and in R&D intensive sectors, indicating that firm’s external financing dependence play an important role in managers’ decision making.

To the best of our knowledge, little research has been done in examining the relationship between the arrival of external financing opportunities and firms’ financial reporting using international data, especially under the setting of equity market openness. Only a few papers examine such a relationship in a similar setting by mainly looking at country level aggregate measures, for example, Bae et al. (2006) argue that stock market liberalization decreases firms’ earnings smoothing and loss avoidance; Gaio (2010) documents that financial globalization makes firm characteristics more compelling and institutional characteristics less vital in explaining earnings quality worldwide. These studies are, however, limited to the fact that most of them neglect the time-varying and incremental opening nature of capital markets and they do not investigate this very specific dimension of accrual-based earnings management. In particular, these studies show long-term improvement in the information environment following equity market
openings, while we investigate the short-term deterioration. In addition, they do not exploit sectoral and/or firm-level variations of the impact of equity market openness.

We use international firm level data and exploit the sample-wide variation of firms’ earnings management behavior in the process of equity market opening by conducting a generalized difference-in-difference test. Specifically, we examine whether firms engage in income-increasing earnings management during the period of their countries opening their equity markets to foreign entities compared to other periods. We calculate difference between the firms’ discretionary accruals during the year in which a country lifts controls on its equity market and those in other years, and compare it to the same difference in countries not implementing such a regulatory change during that year. As discussed in Larrain (2014), the use of a sample including countries opening at different moments of time allows us to conduct a generalized difference-in-difference test in a setting with multiple-treatment-groups and multiple-sample-periods. According to this procedure of utilizing staggered reforms, the “control” group at a certain year includes both the countries that have already opened and those that have yet not opened their equity markets.

Sample-wide, we find income-increasing earnings management in the year of relaxation in country-level equity market controls, and our estimates of earnings management suggest that on average discretionary accruals contribute to more than 1%-point increase in return on assets (ROA) during these periods for sample firms. Our baseline test includes several controls that should enhance our confidence to separate earnings management from the real economic output. In addition, we perform several robustness tests that help alleviate the concern of bias in measurements and estimation procedures. This finding corresponds to findings in prior literature that financing opportunities and information asymmetry between investors and managers tend to induce firms to engage in earnings management (Cohen and Zarowin, 2010; Teoh et al., 1998).
In further analyses, we examine cross-sectional variations in the average effect of equity market openness on firms’ earnings management behavior. First, we explore within-country cross-sectoral variation in industry characteristics. The basic idea of this analysis is that, as Rajan and Zingales (1998) point out, the importance of the availability of outside capital increases with an industry’s dependence on external finance. If the equity market is a key factor allowing financing constraints to be relaxed, then a sudden increase in the capital availability in the market should have a disproportionately impact on the sectors that have originally been constrained by the limited capital in the market due to their dependence on external finance. Therefore, external finance dependence could serve as the main incentives for earnings management in our setting and we consider firms’ external finance dependence from various angles. We calculate three measures of industry-level external finance dependence: external finance dependence, R&D intensity and investment intensity following Rajan and Zingales (1998). Consistent with the stronger dependence on external finance resulting in greater pressure on managers in exploiting financing opportunities, we find that earnings management is more pronounced in sectors which are highly dependent on external finance.

Second, we explore the role of firm specific characteristics in the relation between equity market openness and earnings management. We focus on financial constraints since prior studies point out that constraints in the capital market affect firms’ choice of financing source (Bhattacharya and Ritter, 1983). Financial constraints are important to financing decisions and corporate investment, since firms that have difficulty in raising capital from the capital market will also face barriers in borrowing from banks; therefore, they have more incentives to attract new investors in order to overcome the constraints. Collectively, we argue that financially constrained
firms exhibit more positive earnings management during the equity market opening periods and the results are consistent with this.

We also confirm these findings using a measure of firms’ actual capital raising. We find that firms that raise more equity capital in the subsequent year engage in more earnings management during the year of equity market opening.

In addition, we undertake a cross-sectional test to see whether the effect differs in firms with different auditors. Previous literature document that earnings management is less severe among firms audited by BigN audit firms (see Dechow et al. (2010) for an overview). Like them, we find evidence that firms with BigN auditor 3 exhibit less income-increasing earnings management, in our case when their domestic market opens up to foreign investors. This result suggests the monitoring effect of higher quality auditors and the importance of firm-level supervision in constraining financial misreporting.

Our paper contributes to several aspects of the literature. This paper is related to the literature on external financing and disclosure. There exists an early literature that treats disclosure is exogenous and examines the effect on external financing (La Porta et al., 1997, 1999). A more recent literature examines how changes in disclosure regulation, such as IFRS adoption, affect external financing (DeFond et al., 2011; Hong et al., 2014). Previous studies have also examined how external financing affects firm’s disclosure. In particular, some papers have examined the earnings management behavior of firms that have actually engaged in IPOs or SEOs (e.g., Cohen and Zarowin, 2010; Ertimur et al., 2017; Rangan, 1998; Shivakumar, 2000; Teoh et al., 1998). Our paper complements and contrasts with these papers as follows. First, because prior papers focus on successful external financing, it is difficult to conclude whether firms typically engage in
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3 Here we refer to firms that have primary auditors coded from 01 to 08 in Capital IQ Global.
earnings management in response to external financing opportunities. It is possible that firms, on average, do not engage in upward earnings management but the firms with successful IPOs or SEOs are those that have successfully misled investors with upward earnings management. For example, in contrast to prior studies, Ertimur et al. (2017) find no evidence of income-increasing earnings management in anticipation of the IPO. Second, we are able to rely on shocks to the equity markets of countries to better identify how the arrival of financing opportunities affect managers’ reporting behavior in respond to changes in the financing environment. To further identify the effect of financing opportunities, we follow the literature on equity market opening and carry out difference-in-difference tests based on industry-level equity market dependence (Manova, 2008; Gupta and Yuan, 2009; Moshirian et al., 2015). Based on these tests, we are able to conclude that firms in industries that depend more on equity financing engage in upward earnings management when countries open up their equity markets.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews related literature and presents our hypotheses development. Section 3 describes the data and the research design. Section 4 presents the main empirical result and robustness checks. Section 5 presents the cross-sectional estimation results. Section 6 concludes.

2. Background and Hypotheses Development

2.1 Background

The past three decades have witnessed a growing trend of equity market opening in many emerging economies. Opening an equity market is a decision made by a country’s government with other countries to free the movement of capital in that country’s equity market (Henry, 2000).

---

4 In other words, these papers do not directly examine the effect of financing opportunities on earnings management. There is also contention as to whether the earnings management around actual offerings is due to issuers’ intention to mislead investors (Rangan, 1998; Teoh et al., 1998) or issuers’ rational response to anticipated market behavior at offering announcements (Shivakumar, 2000).
Equity market openness brings significant financing opportunities to domestic firms. According to Rajan and Zingales (2003), the cross-border capital movement is high both at the beginning and the end of the twentieth century for most countries. This phenomenon is continuously growing over the recent years until 2008. The global crisis has sparked interest in the relationship between international capital flows and domestic financial crises in both academia and politics (Mendoza and Quadrini, 2010).

Despite a long history, there remains a large body of literature in the international finance literature debating about the costs and benefits of financial globalization (regulatory openness)⁵. It is commonly agreed that financial globalization has dual effects: on the one hand, it tends to lessen the financing constraints, contributing to higher investment and growth; on the other hand, it encourages risk-taking, often resulting in severe consequences such as financial fragility or even financial crises (Ranciere et al., 2006). Although strong theoretical presumption asserts financial globalization should be welfare improving, many researchers have noticed that the occurrence of domestic financial crises was often accompanied by financial globalization (see Bonfiglioli (2008) and Reinhart and Rogoff (2011)). In line with this, Broner and Ventura (2016)’s model shows that the level of development and the quality of institutions determine how financial globalization affects a variety of domestic outcomes.

The empirical findings and theoretical conclusions do not seem to be very consistent over the debate on the desirability of capital controls. In recent empirical works, Bekaert et al. (2005) argue that equity market liberalizations lead to significant increase in real economic growth. Mitton (2006) shows that firms with stocks that are open to foreign investors experience better operating performance compared to other domestic firms. Using a broader indicator, Larrain and
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⁵ See Henry (2007) and Kose et al. (2009) for a survey of the literature.
Stumpner (2017) find that capital account openness improves resource allocation by enabling financially constrained firms to raise more capital and produce more efficiently. Theoretical predictions, however, argue the other way around. For example, Rajan and Zingales (2003) examine the politics of financial development in the twentieth century model. They develop a theory that shows that when an economy allows both cross-border trade and capital flows, opposition to financial development will be most muted and development will flourish. Using an open-economy model, Mendoza and Quadrini (2010) also show that financial integration played an important role in the recent financial crises by leading to a sharp rise in net credit and asset price spillovers. Therefore, a thorough exploration of benefits and/or costs brought by financial globalization is rather intriguing and economically important.

There is, however, one obstacle faced by almost all researchers who study financial liberalization. For most of the countries, although Bekaert et al. (2011) provide evidence that equity market openness is the main determinant of variations in equity market segmentations, it is hard to pinpoint the exact opening dates because equity market openness per se is typically a gradual process, not a one-time event. For example, the Philippine government signed Foreign Investment Act into law in June, 1991, which removed all restrictions on foreign investment over a period of three years (Bekaert et al., 2005); for the Korean stock market, starting from July 1992, foreigners were subject to a partial opening of its stock market and only allowed to own up to 10% of domestically listed firms until May 1998, a subsequent liberalization happened with 100% change in foreign ownership ceilings (Kim and Wei, 2002). Besides, equity market opening is often concurrent with other economic reforms which make it harder to disentangle the effects explicitly brought by liberalizations.
In our study, we build upon and extend Bekaert et al. (2005)’s official liberalization indicators by using two kinds of equity market openness indicator simultaneously – the binary and continuous measurements - to study how firms respond to the financing opportunities brought by financial liberalization. Our baseline accruals specification is expanded by controls for other macroeconomic reforms such as trade openness. As an exploration of benefits and/or costs of financial liberalization, we investigate whether firms exhibit income-increasing earnings management behaviors during the equity market opening periods. Furthermore, we discuss cross-sectional predictions arising from factors associated with earnings management around opening episodes.

2.2 Hypotheses Development

According to the previous literature, firms’ capital raising activities are generally associated with earnings management.\(^6\)

On one hand, firms that are more profitable are generally perceived as having more growth opportunities and better investments (Haugen and Baker, 1996). Hence, firms, in face of the potential to attract foreign capital, have incentives to managing its earnings upwards to attract more capital and/or raise new capital at lower cost (i.e., sell new shares at higher prices). For example, in an investigation of why corporate managers misstate financial statements, Efendi et al. (2007) find that firms that US firms that raise new equity or debt finance are more likely to have misstatements.

Even if no new capital were involved, there would still be an incentive to manage earnings upwards to the extent it enables existing shareholders to sell their shares to foreign investors at higher prices if there is alignment of interests between existing shareholders and the managers.

---

\(^6\) See Dechow et al. (2010) for an overview.
(who themselves might be existing shareholders) of the firm (Dye, 1988). Fischer and Verrecchia 
(2000) demonstrate theoretically that the ex-ante benefit from biasing the report is positive if there 
is sufficient uncertainty about the manager's reporting objective. In the case of equity market 
opening, we argue that many foreign investors who are interested in investing in a country’s firms 
after equity market opening, face significant uncertainty related to manager’s reporting objective(s) 
and more generally, reporting behavior. Hence, the desire to appear as a more attractive investment 
might lead firms to engage in upward earnings management.

On the other hand, inflating current earnings could lead to significant pressure to meet 
elevated market expectations of future earnings and prior studies have documented significant 
problems, e.g., pressure to commit fraud and significant stock price declines that could result from 
failure to meet earnings expectations (Graham et al., 2005). This problem is exacerbated by future 
reversals of upward managed earnings putting downward pressure on earnings in the future 
(DeFond and Park, 2001). Prior literature have documented that attempts to hide bad news 
increases the likelihood of stock price crashes due to the revelation of previously hidden bad news 
(Hutton et al., 2009; Kim and Zhang, 2016). Foreign investors, especially foreign institutional 
investors, have the potential to constrain managers from manipulating firm performance, as foreign 
investors require are more likely to invest in firms with fewer information problems (see Khanna 
and Palepu, 2000; Leuz et al., 2009). Equity market opening might also lead to better corporate 
governance because foreign investors might be more sophisticated than domestic investors, 
especially in the developing countries that are opening their equity markets, and pay more attention 
to corporate governance. Aggarwal et al. (2011) find that firm-level governance is positively

7 Dye (1998, p. 197) notes that “The demand for earnings management derives from one shareholder generation’s 
attempt to impress the next generation with the firm’s past performance.”
8 There is some evidence, including in the international setting, that being more aggressive in reporting earnings is 
associated with a higher cost of capital (Lara et al., 2011; Li, 2015).
associated with international institutional investment. They also document that firms with higher international institutional ownership are more likely to terminate poorly performing Chief Executive Officers (CEOs). Hence, one might expect equity market opening to result in less upward earnings management.

In sum, the incentives to “dress up” to sell shares to foreign investors suggest that equity market opening will lead to relatively more upward earnings management, whereas the consequences of better corporate governance with more foreign ownership will lead to relatively less upward earnings management. Whether firms engage in upward earnings management after equity market opening is ultimately an empirical question. There is also likely to be significant heterogeneity in this relation depending on country-, industry- and firm-level conditions.

Despite the tension in the hypothesis on how equity market opening affects earnings management, for ease of exposition (especially given our subsequent additional analyses), we state our hypothesis in the alternative form. Specifically, our first hypothesis is:

*H1: When a country lifts capital controls on its equity market, firms engage in upward earning management due to incentives to attract external equity financing.*

Previous studies document the differential impact of macroeconomic conditions on sectoral growth by focusing on the difference in external finance dependence. For example, Rajan and Zingales (1998) show that external finance dependent sectors grow faster in a well-developed financial system due to the relaxation of credit constraints; Manova (2008) finds that equity market liberalizations have a more positive effect on exports in industries that are financially fragile and demand more outside finance. Therefore, for firms from sectors that are more dependent on equity financing, have more to gain from equity market openings. Accordingly, we predict firms that are
more dependent on external equity financing during the opening periods face stronger incentives to engage in income-increasing earnings management.

**H2:** The positive effect of equity market openness on upward earnings management is greater for industries that are more dependent on external equity financing.

Financing problems are one of the largest obstacles for firms to grow, especially for financially constrained firms (Ayyagari et al., 2008), since these firms have trouble raising capital for desired investments (Kaplan and Zingales, 1997; Cleary, 1999; Rauh, 2006). Previous studies also show that financially constrained firms demand higher expected returns because ongoing projects are more likely to be suspended under the shortage of capital in these firms (Cleary, 1999; Li, 2011). Furthermore, Lamont et al. (2001) document that financially constrained firms are more sensitive to monetary policy and changes in macroeconomic conditions. Taking together, we expect to see financially constrained firms to exhibit higher discretionary accruals in order to attract more capital investment during equity market opening periods.

**H3:** The positive effect of equity market openness on upward earnings management is greater for firms that are more financially constrained.

External finance dependence and financial constraints are ex-ante constructs that are hypothesized to strengthen the motive to manage earnings upward because they indicate a need to attract new equity capital. The advantage of this approach is that these constructs are ex-ante, and more likely to be exogenous to the earnings management. The disadvantage is that not all these firms will actually issue equity. Therefore, as an alternative approach we also examine firms that actually issue equity. We use the actual equity issuance in the subsequent year as a proxy for management’s intention to issue equity. This provides the incentive to manage earnings upward in the current year.
H4: The positive effect of equity market openness on upward earnings management is greater for firms issuing equity in the subsequent year.

Auditors are hypothesized to be an element of earnings quality due to their role in alleviating intended and unintended financial misreporting. According to DeAngelo (1981), the ability of auditors is a function of the auditor’s capability to detect a substantial misstatement and appropriately deal with it. Generally, prior literature documents that firms with BigN auditors have significantly lower discretionary accruals than firms with non-BigN auditors (see Dechow et al. (2010) for an overview), suggesting that higher quality audits by BigN auditors improve earnings quality. Accordingly, we predict that income-increasing earnings management will be mitigated for firms with BigN auditors during equity market opening periods.

H5: The positive effect of equity market openness on upward earnings management is weaker in firms audited by BigN audit firms.

3. Data and Research Design

3.1 Sample selection

We collect firm-level financial data from Capital IQ Global. The sample represents all firms covered by Capital IQ Global with necessary data for our empirical analyses. Like the previous literature, e.g., Yu (2008), He et al. (2017) and Lo et al. (2017), we exclude firms from financial sectors (SIC 2-digit: 60-69) and restrict firms to have necessary data to compute the accruals, operating cash flows and firm-level control variables. Firms’ exchange markets are used as the country indicator in the empirical analyses; therefore we exclude firm-year observations with missing exchange codes. We obtain country-level variables that represent formal institutions and financial development from World Bank Development Indicators and Penn World Table. We
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9 Some of the exchange codes correspond to multiple country codes, in that case we use the firm’s headquarter country code instead.
calculate sectoral indexes using United States data from Capital IQ North America. Our final sample consists of 200,460 firm-year observations for 26,187 non-financial firms from 64 countries from 1989 to 2014\textsuperscript{10}.

3.1.1 Equity market openness

Measuring the degree of a country’s equity market openness with the rest of the world is challenging due to the gradual nature of the opening process. Therefore, we adopt two different measures of equity market openness. First, we use \textit{Opening1}, a \textit{de jure} binary indicator that equals to 1 if a firm is from a country in the year of official equity market liberalization, and zero otherwise. The opening year is the official liberalization year from Bekaert et al. (2005), supplemented by the year of becoming an European Union (EU) member from Bekaert et al. (2013) and the year of stock market liberalization in China from Chan and Kwok (2017). The reason why we use the EU membership as a large jump in equity market openness is that the liberalization year for these European countries is not included in Bekaert et al. (2005), but Bekaert et al. (2013) show that membership in the EU has economically significantly contributed to convergence of discount rate and expected earnings growth across EU countries. Since the EU has been set out to free the movement of capital together with other resources within the union countries and financial integration is the long-term goal of regulatory openness, this evidence gives us more confidence in using EU membership as an opening indicator in the analyses.

As previous studies point out, it is often hard to pinpoint the exact dates of equity market opening since openness is a gradual process for most countries. Hence, our second measure is a continuous and \textit{de jure} measure of regulatory controls on equity market - an index of overall restrictions on equity market which has been introduced by Fernández et al. (2016) based on

\textsuperscript{10} Like previous studies, we winsorize all continuous variables at 1\% and 99\% level to rule out the possible influence of outliers in our sample.
information from Annual Report of Exchange Arrangements and Exchange Restrictions published by the IMF for the period 1995-2013. The main advantage of this index is that it distinguishes between controls on different assets, and we use the controls on equity market in our analyses\(^\text{11}\).

This index is available for 61 out of the 64 countries which are included in our main regression sample. This indicator of capital restrictions on equity markets assumes a value of 1 if there are full restrictions on cross-border transactions and a value of 0 if no such restrictions are imposed. We rescale the variable by using one minus the original index such that a value of zero indicates full equity market restrictions and a value of 1 indicates no restrictions on the equity market. We then use the change in this index (i.e., the loosening of restrictions) as our second measure of opening.

3.1.2 Sectoral Indexes

We use three measures of external finance dependence that are calculated at the industry level. In particular, they are calculated using US data from Capital IQ North America: the external equity finance dependence and the investment intensity following Rajan and Zingales (1998), the R&D intensity following Li (2011). The dependence on external finance, is defined as the ratio of capital expenditures not financed by operating cash flows to total capital expenditures; the investment intensity, an alternative measure of external finance dependence, is the ratio of capital expenditures to net property plant and equipment; the R&D intensity, which measures the R&D activities, is the ratio of R&D spending to total assets. All these measures are calculated as the industry median of United States publicly listed firms.

\(^{11}\) The original dataset separately captures restrictions on international transactions involving ten asset categories: equity, bond, collective investments (also referred to as funds), derivatives, financial credits, commercial credits, real estate, direct investment, money market instruments, and guarantees, sureties & financial backup facilities.
Rajan and Zingales (1998) point out that data on the actual use of external financing is typically not available; we have to find some other ways to identify an industry's dependence on external financing. The assumption is that there is a technological reason why the dependence on external finance varies across industries, and these differences persist across countries so that we can use sectoral external finance dependence indexes identified in the United States as representatives across other countries. Since Brown et al. (2009)’s finding suggest that external equity is one of the most important sources for firms to finance R&D, we also include R&D intensity as an alternative proxy for external equity finance dependence. As mentioned in Moshirian et al. (2015), the use of the United States data helps to alleviate the reverse causality concern that a country’s financial liberalization is driven by its industrial growth or innovation activities, and has been widely employed in cross-country studies, e.g., Larrain (2014), Manova (2012).

3.1.3 Descriptive Statistics

Panel A of table 1 shows the countries where we are able to identify equity market opening status. In total, the sample covers 64 different jurisdictions all over the world. Among countries opening during our sample period, China, which opened near the end of our sample, is the largest with about 10% of the firm-years in our sample, followed by India, which opened in the beginning of our sample period, with about 8% of the firm-years the sample. We acknowledge that some of the opening events identified from Bekaert et al. (2005) are not covered by the observations in Capital IQ Global, which is why we support our findings by using the continuous measure of equity market restrictions as well (based on Fernández et al. (2016)). We also supplement our sample by including economies that have already opened and those that have never opened equity market during the sample period to provide more nonevent observations to model parameters.
Panel B of table 1 shows distribution of the sample by year. There is a clear time trend in the number of firm-year observations as stock markets and coverage have expanded during our sample period. The main effects of this are expected to be absorbed by time fixed effects in our model. In robustness tests we also include firm fixed effects to compare the same firms during and outside the opening year.

Panel A of table 2 reports the means and medians of the earnings management measures, equity market openness index, as well as firm- and country-level characteristics for observations in our sample. The mean and median of working capital accruals are 0.014 and 0.005, on average, which is comparable to those reported in the previous literature (e.g., He et al., 2017; Godsell et al., 2017). The mean of equity market openness index *Equity* is 0.652, suggesting that on average countries have a relatively high openness degree on equity flows during the sample period. As for the firm-level variables, the mean and median of firm size is 4.732 and 4.667 respectively; the mean value of sales growth is 14.6%; the average leverage ratio (book leverage) is 24.8%. For the opening sample, except for the significant difference in accruals and openness degree, many other variables are on average reasonably comparable to those in other samples, with some exceptions. We control for all these variables in our tests.

Panel B of table 2 shows the univariate analysis of accruals during the opening year and other years. The t-test results show that total accruals and working capital accruals are significantly higher for firms during the equity market opening year than other periods. This test provides preliminary evidence that firms exhibit income-increasing earning management during equity market opening periods.
3.2 Research Design

We employ the cross-sectional accruals model introduced in Francis et al. (2005a), which combines the elements of Jones (1991) and Dechow and Dichev (2002) accruals models, to obtain the discretionary accruals. To control for firm characteristics that may also affect firms’ accruals choices, we include proxies for firm size, leverage, growth, operating volatility, and net operating assets. We also include time-varying country-level control variables in the regression following Larrain and Stumpner (2017) and Chan et al. (2015). Similar to Godsell et al. (2017), we do not proceed in two stages - with a first stage identifying discretionary accruals and a second stage explaining variation in discretionary accruals - since Chen et al. (2017) point out that when there are correlations between explanatory variables, usage of two-step regression procedures could lead to biased coefficient estimates and standard errors, resulting in Type I and Type II errors. They suggest single-step procedures as the most basic solution to this problem. Specifically, we initially estimate the following equation:

\[
ACC_{i,j,c,t} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \frac{1}{TotAssets_{i,j,c,t-1}} + \beta_2 PPE_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_3 (\Delta Rev_{i,j,c,t} - \Delta AR_{i,j,c,t}) + \beta_4 CFO_{i,j,c,t-1} + \beta_5 CFO_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_6 CFO_{i,j,c,t+1} + \beta_7 Open_{c,t} + \beta_8 Size_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_9 Leverage_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_{10} Growth_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_{11} Std(Sales)_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_{12} NOA_{i,j,c,t-1} + \beta_{13} GDP_{c,t} + \beta_{14} Inflation_{c,t} + \beta_{15} TradeOpen_{c,t} + \beta_{16} GovExp_{c,t} + \beta_{17} FinDev_{c,t} + Country \ Fixed \ Effects + Industry \ Fixed \ Effects + Year \ Fixed \ Effects + \nu_{i,j,c,t}
\]

(1)

where \(ACC_{i,j,c,t}\) is working capital accruals or total accruals. \(TOT\_ACC\) is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. \(WC\_ACC\) is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current
liabilities. $1/TotAssets$ is the inverse of lagged total assets. $CFO_{t-1,t,t+1}$ are cash flows from operations in year $t-1$, $t$, and $t+1$ scaled by lagged total assets. $PPE$ is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. $ΔREV-ΔAR$ is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. $Open$ is one of the measures for equity market openness. $Size$ is the natural logarithm of total sales revenue. $Leverage$ is book leverage, defined as long-term debt plus the current portion of long-term debt, scaled by total assets. $Growth$ is sales growth, calculated as sales growth from year $t-1$ to $t$. $Std(sales)$ is operating volatility, defined as the standard deviation of sales over the past three years, that is, $t$, $t-1$, $t-2$. $NOA$ is net operating assets, calculated as the sum of shareholders equity and interest-bearing debt, minus cash assets, scaled by sales. $GDP$ is the natural logarithm of GDP per capita (current US$). $Inflation$ is current inflation rate, defined as the GDP deflator. $TradeOpen$ is trade openness, calculated as the sum of imports and exports of goods and services scaled by GDP. $GovExp$ is government expenditure, defined as general government final consumption expenditure scaled by GDP. $FinDev$ is financial development, measured as the private credit to GDP.

Based on the previous studies, we expect the coefficients of sales growth and lead and lagged cash flow from operations to be positive and significant, while the coefficients of present cash flows to be negative and significant. $PPE$ is expected to be negatively related to total accruals due to the fact that depreciation charges partially come from fixed assets. Country and industry fixed effects are included to capture any systematic variances in accruals across our sample countries or industries. Year fixed effects are also included in the model to adjust for any time trend exhibited by our sample firms during the sample period. We cluster standard errors by country to address any correlations across time or firms in the same country. In robustness checks, we also substitute country and industry fixed effects with firm fixed effects to control for firm-specific and time-
invariant characteristics. Our primary empirical predictions are that discretionary accruals will be significantly positive during the equity market opening periods. Specifically, we expect to see $\beta_7$ in equation (1) to be positive and significant.

4. Equity market openness and earnings management

4.1 Main evidence

Table 3 presents the estimation results of the regression based on Equation (1), which examines sample-wide earnings management in periods around the opening of equity markets. The first two columns report results of using the binary indicator of equity market openness, while the next two columns present results of using changes in the continuous openness variable as the main independent variable. Country-, industry-, and year- fixed effects are included in the regressions. Standard errors are robust to heteroscedasticity and are clustered at the country level. In the last four columns we repeat these tests, but replace country and industry fixed effects by firm fixed effects.

Consistent with H1, the coefficients of equity market openness on both total accruals and working capital accruals in all eight columns are positive and statistically significant. On average, discretionary accruals increase reported ROA by more than 1% point in the event year. The control variables have predicted signs and are in general consistent with the prior literature. Specifically, accruals are positively related to sales growth, lead and lag cash flows from operations and negatively related to present cash flows. Net property, plant and equipment are negatively related to total accruals because of its contribution to the depreciation expense. For firm characteristics, on average, accruals increase with firm size, leverage, and growth, while decrease with operating volatility and net operating assets. For country level economic indicators, accruals are positively related to inflation, trade openness, and negatively related to government expenditure and financial
development. The results correspond to previous findings that financially developed economies embrace higher earnings quality compared to less developed ones (Leuz et al., 2003; Burgstahler et al., 2006). Meanwhile, it suggests that the positive effect of equity market openness on earnings management remains robust even after controlling for the economic development and other time-varying country level characteristics. Results are similar, but with a bit smaller effect sizes, when including firm fixed effects.

Collectively, we show that firms from countries that are opening their equity market exhibit income-increasing earnings management during the official announcement periods. This is consistent with our main hypothesis H1. When equity market opens to foreign investors, it brings financing opportunities to domestic firms, and it is hard to argue that these financing opportunities could be easily influenced by individual firms’ decisions. Such external financing incentives will induce firms exhibiting upward earnings management if firms want to impress the potential investors with past performance. Thus, equity market opening will have a positive effect on firms’ upward earnings management.

4.2 Robustness tests

Next, we conduct several robustness tests for our main regression. First, we investigate the timing of the earnings management using our Opening1 variable. Instead of just an indicator for the opening year, we also include indicators for the year before the opening and the year after. Consistent with the opening driving the earnings management, rather than a generic time trend, we find no evidence of upward earnings management in the year before the opening. We do still find some upward earnings management in the year after the opening, though much reduced in magnitude. This could be consistent with a desire to keep earnings high during/soon after an equity issuance. The results for the year after are only weakly significant after including firm fixed effects.
Second, we want to see whether our results are robust to the removal of countries that have no change in equity market openness during our sample periods. Panel B of table 4 shows the estimation results based on the sample only including economies that have an opening event during our sample period. Results are consistent with the main findings in Table 3, with similar effect sizes and significance. Results using firm fixed effects are slightly stronger in this restricted sample.

Taken together, through these alternative specifications, we are more confident to conclude that in general, equity market openness is related to firms’ upward earnings management. This result is not sensitive to the usage of different measurements of the equity market openness, nor in different sample size or the estimation with firm fixed effects.

5. Cross-sectional variations on the equity market openness and earnings management

5.1 Equity finance dependence

In this section, we explore the effect of equity finance dependence on the relation between equity market openness and earnings management. With stronger external finance dependence, firms are more likely to rely on financing opportunities in the equity market. Therefore, we predict that the effect of equity market openness on earnings management is more pronounced in external finance dependent sectors. Specifically, we obtain estimation results based on the following equation:

\[
ACC_{i,j,c,t} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \text{Open}_{c,t} + \beta_2 \text{Extfin}_j + \beta_3 \text{Open}_{c,t} \times \text{Eqfin}_j + \gamma X_{i,j,c,t} + \delta X_{c,t} \\
+ \text{Country Fixed Effects} + \text{Industry Fixed Effects} \\
+ \text{Year Fixed Effects} + \nu_{i,j,c,t}
\]

(2)

where \(ACC_{i,j,c,t}\) is working capital accruals or total accruals. \(\text{Open}\) is the indicator for equity market openness. \(\text{Extfin}\) is the sectoral external finance dependence. \(X\) represents all other
variables that are detailed in equation (1). We cluster standard errors by country. We predict the coefficient of interest $\beta_3$ to be positive and significant.

As detailed in Section 3.1.2, we use three measures of sectoral dependence on equity finance. The first and most basic measure is the external equity finance dependence $\text{Extfin}$, which is calculated as the industry median ratio of capital expenditures not financed by cash flow from operations. The second measure is $\text{R&D intensity}$, calculated as the industry median ratio of R&D spending scaled by total assets. The third measure is $\text{Investment Intensity}$, calculated as the industry median ratio of capital expenditure to net property, plant and equipment. All these three measures are constructed by industry using all publicly traded firms in each SIC two-digit industry in the United States from 1980 to 1989 following Rajan and Zingales (1998).

We report the results in Table 5. Because the equity finance dependence index varies at the sectoral level, the main effects will be absorbed by industry fixed effects. The first four columns show the results of using external finance dependence $\text{Extfin}$. As we can see, all the coefficients of interaction terms are positive and significant across the four columns. Columns 5 through 8 show the results of using R&D intensity $\text{RD intensity}$, columns 9 through 12 show the results using investment intensity $\text{Inv intensity}$. All interaction terms are positive and significant, except for the interaction terms of $\text{Opening2}$ and $\text{Inv Intensity}$, which are positive but not statistically significant. Consistent with H2, these results reinforce our finding that the effect of equity market openness on earnings management is more pronounced in highly external finance dependent sectors.

5.2 Financial Constraints

Compared to unconstrained firms, firms that have limited ability to raise external fund are more concerned if financing opportunities exist in the equity market. Lamont et al. (2001) find that financially constrained firms are more sensitive to monetary policy and changes in macroeconomic
conditions. Similarly, in countries with opening of the equity market, financially constrained firms are expected to be more incentivized to manage earnings upward. We therefore explore how financial constraints affect the relation between equity market openness and earnings management. Specifically, we estimate the following equation:

$$ACC_{i,j,c,t} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 Open_{c,t} + \beta_2 Financial\ Constraints_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_3 Open_{c,t} \times Financial\ Constraints_{i,j,c,t} + \gamma X_{i,j,c,t} + \delta X_{c,t} + \text{Country Fixed Effects} + \text{Industry Fixed Effects} + \text{Year Fixed Effects} + \nu_{i,j,c,t}$$

(3)

where $ACC_{i,j,c,t}$ is working capital accruals or total accruals. $Open$ is the indicator for equity market openness. $Financial\ Constraints$ is the firm-level proxy for financial constraints. $X$ represents all other variables that are detailed in equation (1). We cluster standard errors by country. We predict the coefficient of interest $\beta_3$ to be positive and significant.

We use three proxies of financial constraints following prior literature: the SA index, the $WW$ index and the $KZ$ index. The SA index the index in year t-1 following Hadlock and Pierce (2010); the $WW$ index is the index in year t-1 constructed following Whited and Wu (2006); $KZ$ is the index in year t-1 constructed following Kaplan and Zingales (1997). For these proxies, higher values of the index indicate greater financial constraints. The exact computation of these measures is described in the Appendix.

The estimation results are presented in table 6. The first four columns show the results using the SA index. Consistent our prediction in H3, all four interactions are positive and significant. The next four columns show the results of using $WW$ index and the final four columns show the results of using $KZ$ index. Using both of these measures, all interactions are positive, and three out of four are statistically significant across the specifications. Overall, these findings are consistent
with our prediction in H3 that the positive effect of equity market opening on earnings management increases with firms’ financially constraints.

5.4 Equity issuances

The tests in the prior two sections rely on constructs that are measured ex-ante. These are hypothesized to strengthen the motive to manage earnings upward because they indicate a need to attract new equity capital. The advantage of this approach is that since these constructs are ex-ante, they are more likely to be exogenous to the earnings management. The disadvantage is that not all these firms will actually issue equity. Therefore, as an alternative approach we also examine firms that actually issue equity. Our measure $Eqissue$ indicates whether a firm is issuing equity during the subsequent year and is defined as the natural logarithm of shares outstanding (adjusted for stock splits) in year $t+1$ over shares outstanding (adjusted for stock splits) in year $t$.

We therefore explore how financial constraints affect the relation between equity market openness and earnings management. Specifically, we estimate the following equation:

$$
ACCI_{i,j,c,t} = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \text{Open}_{c,t} + \beta_2 Eqissue_{i,j,c,t+1} + \beta_3 \text{Open}_{c,t} \times Eqissue_{i,j,c,t+1} + \gamma X_{i,j,c,t} \\
+ \delta X_{c,t} + \text{Country Fixed Effects} + \text{Industry Fixed Effects} \\
+ \text{Year Fixed Effects} + \nu_{i,j,c,t}
$$

where $ACCI_{i,j,c,t}$ is working capital accruals or total accruals. $Open$ is the indicator for equity market openness. $Eqissue$ is a continuous measure of equity issuance. $X$ represents all other variables that are detailed in equation (1). We cluster standard errors by country. We predict the coefficient of interest $\beta_3$ to be positive and significant.

The estimation results are presented in table 7. The results are generally consistent with our prediction. All four interaction terms are positive, but only the tests using Opening1 are
statistically significant. While consistent with the hypothesis, the results are not as strong as the ones using the ex-ante measures shown in Tables 5 and 6.

5.4 BigN auditors

Prior literature document that firms audited by BigN firms have significantly lower discretionary accruals than firms audited by non-BigN firms (see Dechow et al., 2010). In general, BigN auditors are reported to exhibit higher ability in refraining firms from financial misreporting than other auditors. Therefore our fifth hypothesis is that the positive effect of equity market openness on earnings management is less pronounced in firms with BigN auditors. Correspondingly, we estimate the following equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
ACC_{i,j,c,t} &= \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \text{Open}_{c,t} + \beta_2 \text{BigN}_{i,j,c,t} + \beta_3 \text{Open}_{c,t} \times \text{BigN}_{i,j,c,t} + \gamma X_{i,j,c,t} + \delta X_{c,t} \\
&\quad + \text{Country Fixed Effects} + \text{Industry Fixed Effects} \\
&\quad + \text{Year Fixed Effects} + \nu_{i,j,c,t}
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

where \( ACC_{i,j,c,t} \) is working capital accruals or total accruals. \( \text{Open} \) is the indicator for equity market openness. \( \text{BigN} \) is a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is audited by a BigN auditor, and zero otherwise. \( X \) represents all other variables that are detailed in equation (1). We cluster standard errors by country. We predict the coefficient of interest \( \beta_3 \) to be negative and significant.

Table 8 presents the estimation results. From column (1) to (4), three of them have the predicted negative and significant coefficients on the interaction term. These results are consistent with our fifth hypothesis that BigN auditors mitigate earnings management behaviors by constraining firms from financial misreporting.

6. Conclusion
Equity financing is a vital resource of capital for firms in many countries and there is significant academic interest in the equity market financing opportunities. Previous studies document that macroeconomic changes and regulatory reforms are double-edged swords for publicly listed firms, as they provide more financing opportunity, while inducing more competition as well. As a result, how firms respond to these regulatory changes (especially in the equity market) are quite intriguing in the sense that they can either choose to be honest to gain investors’ trust, or to mask firm performance to attract investors.

In this paper, we examine how equity market openness is associated with firms’ earnings management. Using international evidence, we find that firms exhibit significant income-increasing earnings management during the equity market opening periods. Our results are robust to the use of binary and continuous values of the measurement of equity market openness, the inclusion of firm fixed effects, and the exclusion of pure control groups, and are concentrated in the year of opening. Furthermore, we find that the positive relation between equity market openness and earnings management is more pronounced in sectors that are more dependent on external finance. This result is consistent with the idea that the capital market consequences of income-increasing earnings management could enhance the benefits the external finance dependent firms receive in the form of capital raising from foreign investors during the opening periods. In other words, with stronger equity finance dependence, firms are more likely to use financing opportunities in the equity market.

Similarly, we show that financially constrained firms have a stronger incentive to do income-increasing earnings management in face of financing opportunities brought by the regulatory opening of equity markets. We also show that firms that actually raise more equity in the subsequent year, have higher upward earnings management during the year of equity market
opening. Finally, we find that firms with BigN auditors do less earnings management during the opening periods. This result is consistent with prior literature that BigN auditors mitigate earnings management behaviors by constraining firms from financial misreporting.

Our paper makes several contributions to the literature. We add to the literature on financing opportunities and earnings management. We show that when facing financing opportunities brought by equity market opening, it provides special financing incentives for firms to be attractive to potential investors, especially for firms dependent on equity finance and with financial constraints. Unlike IPOs or SEOs, it is harder to argue that these decisions are driven by firms’ intrinsic need of external funds. Our paper also adds to the literature documenting the benefits and costs of financial globalization in the finance and economic literature. With few exceptions, previous studies document mainly the benefits of financial globalization such as facilitating investment, firm growth and other operational performance. Our paper, however, contributes to the literature by providing evidence on some of the costs of financial globalization. When an equity market opens to foreign investors, firms seem to forego the long run growth but try to seize short run gain instead, which in the aggregate may lead to capital misallocation.
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Appendix

Table A1. Variables Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Variables Definition</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOT_ACC</td>
<td>Total accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC_ACC</td>
<td>Working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening</td>
<td>A dummy variable representing large jump in equity market liberalization, which takes the value of 1 for a given country at the year of official liberalization, otherwise equals to 0. The official liberalization year is collectively from Bekaert et al. (2005) and Chan and Kwok (2017).</td>
<td>Bekaert et al. (2005), Chan and Kwok (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2</td>
<td>Average equity restrictions index from Fernández et al. (2015), varies from 0 to 1 and is adjusted so that a country’s equity market is fully open if Equity = 1, fully closed if Equity = 0.</td>
<td>Fernández et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/TotAssets</td>
<td>The inverse of lagged total assets.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t-1,t+1}</td>
<td>Cash flows from operations in year t – 1, t, and t + 1 scaled by lagged total assets.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE</td>
<td>Net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔREV-ΔAR</td>
<td>The change in Sales minus the change in Accounts Receivable.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Firm size measured as the natural logarithm of market capitalization in US dollars.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage</td>
<td>Book leverage, defined as long-term debt plus the current portion of long-term debt, scaled by total assets.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>Sales growth, defined as sales growth from t – 1 to t.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std(sales)</td>
<td>Operating volatility, defined as the standard deviation of sales over the past three years, that is, t, t – 1, t – 2.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA</td>
<td>Net operating assets, calculated as the sum of shareholders’ equity and interest-bearing debt, minus cash assets, scaled by sales.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyst Coverage</td>
<td>Firm’s analyst coverage, calculated as the arithmetic mean of the 12 monthly numbers of earnings forecasts for firm i extracted from the Institutional Brokers’ Estimate System summary file over fiscal year t following He and Tian (2013).</td>
<td>I/B/E/S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BigN</td>
<td>BigN auditors, equals to 1 if a firm is audited by Big auditors encoded between 1 to 8 in Capital IQ Global, and zero otherwise.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobin’s Q</td>
<td>Sum of market value of equity and book value of debt (long-term debt and debt in current liabilities) divided by total assets</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KZ index</td>
<td>$= -1.001909 \times CF_{it} + 3.93193 \times TLTD_{it} - 39.36780 \times TDIV_{it} - 1.1314759 \times CASH_{it} + 0.2826389 \times Q_{it}$, where CF is cash flow scaled by total assets; TLTD is long-term debt scaled by total assets; TDIV is dividend scaled by total assets, CASH is cash and short-term investment scaled by total assets, and Q is Tobin’s Q.</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW index</td>
<td>$= -0.091 \times CF_{it} - 0.062 \times DIVPOS_{it} + 0.021 \times TLTD_{it} - 0.044 \times LNTA_{it} + 0.102 \times ISG_{it} - 0.035 \times SG_{it}$, where CF is cash flow from operations divided by total assets, DIVPOS is an indicator take the value of one if the firms pays cash dividends; TLTD is long term debt</td>
<td>Capital IQ Global</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
divided by total assets; LNTA is natural logarithm of total assets, ISG is the firm’s three-digit SIC industry sales growth, SG is firm sales growth.

SA index

\[ SA \text{ index} = (-0.737 \times \text{Size}^i) + (0.043 \times \text{Size}^i)^2 - (0.040 \times \text{Age}^i), \]

where Size is the natural logarithm of total assets, Age is the number of years the firm has been on Capital IQ Global with a non-missing stock price.

**Industry Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ExtFin</th>
<th>External finance dependence, measured as the fraction of capital expenditures not financed by cash flow from operations for the median publicly traded firm in each industry in the United States from 1980 to 1990 following Rajan &amp; Zingales (1998).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inv_Intensity</td>
<td>Investment Intensity, measured as the industry median ratio of capital expenditure to net property, plant and equipment of all U.S. public firms from 1980 to 1990 following Rajan &amp; Zingales (1998).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D_Intensity</td>
<td>R&amp;D intensity the industry median ratio of R&amp;D expenditures to total assets following Li (2011), using all U.S. public firms from 1980 to 1990.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Country characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imports</th>
<th>Imports of goods and services (BoP, current US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exports</td>
<td>Exports of goods and services (BoP, current US$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen</td>
<td>Trade openness measured as the sum of imports and exports of goods and services divided by GDP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp</td>
<td>General government final consumption expenditure (% of GDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation</td>
<td>Inflation, GDP deflator (annual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>The natural logarithm of GDP per capita (current US$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev</td>
<td>Financial Development measured as Private credit by deposit money banks to GDP ()</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: Sample Composition

#### Panel A: Breakdown by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No. of firms</th>
<th>% of total firms</th>
<th>No. of firm-years</th>
<th>% of total firm-years</th>
<th>Year of First Observation</th>
<th>Open year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel A.1. Economies that opened their equity markets during the sample period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1,213</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>2,433</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>20,678</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>2,738</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>15,457</td>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1,832</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>7,380</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oman</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1,866</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1,526</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2,839</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>2,611</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1,180</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1,407</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Panel A.2. Economies that opened their equity markets before the start of the sample period</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>11,932</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1,260</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>3,986</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>5,380</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1,387</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>1,420</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>12,322</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Open Market</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Observations.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No. of Firms</th>
<th>No. of Firm-Years</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Year of First Observation</th>
<th>Open Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2,142</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>3,634</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>38,927</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>9,285</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1,332</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>7,360</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1,189</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>4,095</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>2,271</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>4,806</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2,469</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>19,867</td>
<td>9.91</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Panel A.3. Economies that never opened during the sample period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No. of Firms</th>
<th>No. of Firm-Years</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Year of First Observation</th>
<th>Open Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | 26,187 | 100 | 200,460 | 100 |

**Panel B: Breakdown by year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of Firm-Years</th>
<th>% of Total firm-years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996 and before</td>
<td>5,969</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>2,190</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>2,988</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>5,038</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6,252</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>8,017</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>8,759</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>9,510</td>
<td>4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>10,073</td>
<td>5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>11,158</td>
<td>5.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>11,816</td>
<td>5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>12,778</td>
<td>6.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>13,274</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>13,754</td>
<td>6.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>14,377</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>15,004</td>
<td>7.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>15,927</td>
<td>7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>16,705</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>16,871</td>
<td>8.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | 200,460 | 100 |

Notes: This table presents the sample composition in empirical analyses. Panel A presents the sample composition by country. Panel B describes the sample composition by year. *No. of firms* is the number of unique firms. *% of total firms* is the percentage of unique firms in the overall firm observations. *No. of firm-years* is the total number of firm-year observations. *% of total firm-years* is the percentage of firm-year numbers in the overall firm-year observations. *Year of first observation* is the first year of observation (with no missing accruals measures) appeared in the Capital IQ Global dataset. *Open year* is the official liberalization year of equity market from Bekaert et al. (2005), supplemented by Bekaert et al. (2013) (denoted by *) and Chan and Kwok (2017) (denoted by **). * denotes the start year of sample country is later than the opening year. The sample period is from 1989 to 2014.
### Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

#### Panel A: Sample description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Full sample (N = 200,460)</th>
<th>Opening (N = 63,667)</th>
<th>Fully Open (N = 134,536)</th>
<th>Never Open (N = 2,257)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC_ACC</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT_ACC</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening1</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>178,216</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2</td>
<td>178,396</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/TotAssets−1</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔREV−ΔAR</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO−1</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std(sales)−1</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA−1</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>1.484</td>
<td>4.752</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev</td>
<td>200,460</td>
<td>1.024</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Panel B: Univariate analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Differences</th>
<th>T-stats</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOT_ACC</strong></td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>0.025***</td>
<td>0.030***</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WC_ACC</strong></td>
<td>0.034***</td>
<td>0.014***</td>
<td>0.020***</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td>(0.0026)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table presents the descriptive statistics of main variables used in the empirical analysis. In panel A, N is the total number of firm-year observations. Mean is the average value of each variable. SD is the standard deviation of each variable. Q1 is the first quartile, median is the second quartile, and Q3 is the third quartile of the distribution of each variable. TOT_ACC is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. WC_ACC is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities. Opening1 is a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is in a country at the year of official equity market integration, otherwise zero, as equity market integration indicator. Equity is a continuous variable which measures the level of equity account opening and is equal to 1 minus the equity account control index from Fernández et al. (2016). Opening2 is the change in Equity market controls index from the prior year. 1/TotAssets is the inverse of lagged total assets. CFO−t−1,t−1−1 are cash flows from operations in year t−1, t, and t+1 scaled by lagged total assets. PPE is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. ΔREV−ΔAR is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. Size is the natural logarithm of total sales revenue. Leverage is book leverage, defined as long-term debt plus the current portion of long-term debt, scaled by total assets. Growth is sales growth, defined as sales growth from t−1 to t. Std(sales) is operating volatility, defined as the standard deviation of sales over the past three years, that is, t, t−1, t−2. NOA is net operating assets, calculated as the sum of shareholders’ equity and interest-bearing debt, minus cash assets, scaled by sales. GDP is the natural logarithm of GDP per capita (current US$). Inflation is the GDP deflator. TradeOpen is trade openness measured as the sum of imports and exports of goods and services divided by GDP. GovExp is government final consumption expenditure (% of GDP). FinDev is financial development measured as private credit to GDP. Panel B presents the
comparative statistics between the liberalization year (Opening1 = 1) and all other periods (Opening1 = 0). The sample period is from 1989 to 2014. Standard errors in parentheses: ***, **, and * denote significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
Table 3: Main Regression Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(2) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(3) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(4) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(5) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(6) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(7) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(8) WC_ACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening1</td>
<td>0.0261***</td>
<td>0.0288***</td>
<td>0.0139**</td>
<td>0.0165***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/TotAssets_{t-1}</td>
<td>-0.0286</td>
<td>-0.0049</td>
<td>-0.0296</td>
<td>-0.0053</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
<td>0.0334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.147)</td>
<td>(0.796)</td>
<td>(0.131)</td>
<td>(0.795)</td>
<td>(0.637)</td>
<td>(0.444)</td>
<td>(0.634)</td>
<td>(0.465)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0292***</td>
<td>0.0054**</td>
<td>-0.0292***</td>
<td>0.0053**</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0314***</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.0322***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
<td>(0.553)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.434)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔREV_{t-ΔAR}</td>
<td>0.0526***</td>
<td>0.0570***</td>
<td>0.0520***</td>
<td>0.0566***</td>
<td>0.0383***</td>
<td>0.0428***</td>
<td>0.0368***</td>
<td>0.0414***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t-1}</td>
<td>0.1400***</td>
<td>0.1469***</td>
<td>0.1403***</td>
<td>0.1471***</td>
<td>0.1134***</td>
<td>0.1163***</td>
<td>0.1146***</td>
<td>0.1173***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t}</td>
<td>-0.4523***</td>
<td>-0.4392***</td>
<td>-0.4520***</td>
<td>-0.4391***</td>
<td>-0.4778***</td>
<td>-0.4685***</td>
<td>-0.4753***</td>
<td>-0.4662***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size_{t}</td>
<td>0.0055***</td>
<td>0.0055***</td>
<td>0.0055***</td>
<td>0.0056***</td>
<td>0.0174***</td>
<td>0.0171***</td>
<td>0.0179***</td>
<td>0.0175***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage_{t}</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>0.0135*</td>
<td>0.0135*</td>
<td>0.0140**</td>
<td>0.0287***</td>
<td>0.0369***</td>
<td>0.0303***</td>
<td>0.0390***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.111)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.099)</td>
<td>(0.022)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth_{t}</td>
<td>0.0182***</td>
<td>0.0214***</td>
<td>0.0190***</td>
<td>0.0220***</td>
<td>0.0177***</td>
<td>0.0206***</td>
<td>0.0184***</td>
<td>0.0212***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std(sales)_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0112***</td>
<td>-0.0057**</td>
<td>-0.0123***</td>
<td>-0.0066**</td>
<td>-0.0015</td>
<td>-0.0004</td>
<td>-0.0021</td>
<td>-0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(0.350)</td>
<td>(0.812)</td>
<td>(0.275)</td>
<td>(0.721)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA_{t-1}</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0016***</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0016***</td>
<td>-0.0020***</td>
<td>-0.0024***</td>
<td>-0.0021***</td>
<td>-0.0024***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.150)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.145)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP_{t}</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>-0.0236***</td>
<td>-0.0222**</td>
<td>-0.0276***</td>
<td>-0.0263**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.785)</td>
<td>(0.803)</td>
<td>(0.898)</td>
<td>(0.908)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation_{t}</td>
<td>0.0072*</td>
<td>0.0119***</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>0.0595</td>
<td>0.0089*</td>
<td>0.0124**</td>
<td>0.0065*</td>
<td>0.0667*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.078)</td>
<td>(0.066)</td>
<td>(0.188)</td>
<td>(0.116)</td>
<td>(0.087)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.082)</td>
<td>(0.055)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen_{t}</td>
<td>0.0137***</td>
<td>0.0127***</td>
<td>0.0123***</td>
<td>0.0111***</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.005)</td>
<td>(-0.002)</td>
<td>(0.389)</td>
<td>(0.413)</td>
<td>(0.684)</td>
<td>(0.776)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp_{t}</td>
<td>-0.1825**</td>
<td>-0.1601**</td>
<td>-0.1943**</td>
<td>-0.1733**</td>
<td>-0.1643**</td>
<td>-0.1648**</td>
<td>-0.1503*</td>
<td>-0.1503*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
<td>(0.039)</td>
<td>(0.025)</td>
<td>(0.045)</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
<td>(0.042)</td>
<td>(0.058)</td>
<td>(0.066)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0136**</td>
<td>-0.0177***</td>
<td>-0.0159**</td>
<td>-0.0204***</td>
<td>-0.0083</td>
<td>-0.0135**</td>
<td>-0.0088</td>
<td>-0.0143**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.048)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.252)</td>
<td>(0.042)</td>
<td>(0.227)</td>
<td>(0.028)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Country fixed effects  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
Industry fixed effects  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
Year fixed effects  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
Firm fixed effects  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
Cluster at country level  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
N  200,459  200,459  178,519  178,519  198,215  198,215  176,068  176,068
Adjusted R²  0.340  0.340  0.338  0.339  0.401  0.381  0.403  0.383

Notes: This table reports the baseline test that examines sample-wide earnings management in periods around the opening of equity market. Column (1) and (2) present results of estimating the specification model detailed in equation (1) using Opening1, a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is in a country at the year of official equity market liberalization, otherwise zero, as equity market openness indicator. Column (3) and (4) present results of estimating the specification model detailed in equation (1) using Opening2, the change in equity controls index from Fernández et al. (2016). Country-, industry- and year- fixed effects are included in the regressions. Column (5) to (8) repeat regressions by including firm and year fixed effects. TOT_ACC is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. WC_ACC is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities. 1/TotAssets is the inverse of lagged total assets. CFO_{t-1,t+1} are cash flows from operations in year t−1, t, and t+1 scaled by lagged total assets. PPE is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. ΔREV-ΔAR is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. GDP is the natural logarithm of GDP per capita (current US$). Inflation is inflation divided by GDP. TradeOpen is trade openness measured as the sum of imports and exports of goods and services divided by GDP. GovExp is general government final consumption expenditure divided by GDP. FinDev is financial development measured as private credit to GDP. All other firm-level control variables are defined in Appendix. Standard errors are robust to heteroscedasticity and clustered at country level. Robust p-values are reported in parentheses: ***, **, and * denote significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
**Table 4: Robustness checks**

Panel A: Sample-wide regression results with Event year (Opening1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(2) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(3) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(4) WC_ACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Event year t-1</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.0122</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.169)</td>
<td>(0.100)</td>
<td>(0.768)</td>
<td>(0.508)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event year t</td>
<td>0.0284***</td>
<td>0.0314***</td>
<td>0.0145**</td>
<td>0.0175***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.027)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event year t+1</td>
<td>0.0111**</td>
<td>0.0120**</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
<td>0.0101*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.028)</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td>(0.162)</td>
<td>(0.083)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/TotAssets&lt;sub&gt;t-1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.0285</td>
<td>-0.0048</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.150)</td>
<td>(0.802)</td>
<td>(0.639)</td>
<td>(0.446)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.0292***</td>
<td>0.0054***</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0314***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.553)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆REV&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;-∆AR&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0526***</td>
<td>0.0570***</td>
<td>0.0383***</td>
<td>0.0428***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO&lt;sub&gt;t-1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.1400***</td>
<td>0.1469***</td>
<td>0.1134***</td>
<td>0.1162***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.4522***</td>
<td>-0.4391***</td>
<td>-0.4778***</td>
<td>-0.4685***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO&lt;sub&gt;t+1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.1300***</td>
<td>0.1370***</td>
<td>0.0987***</td>
<td>0.1017***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0055***</td>
<td>0.0055***</td>
<td>0.0174***</td>
<td>0.0171***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.0180***</td>
<td>0.0287***</td>
<td>0.0369***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.110)</td>
<td>(0.022)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0181***</td>
<td>0.0214***</td>
<td>0.0177***</td>
<td>0.0206***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std(sales)&lt;sub&gt;t-1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.0112***</td>
<td>-0.0057***</td>
<td>-0.0015</td>
<td>-0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.033)</td>
<td>(0.350)</td>
<td>(0.813)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA&lt;sub&gt;t-1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0016***</td>
<td>-0.0020***</td>
<td>-0.0024***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.033)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>-0.0239***</td>
<td>-0.0229**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.927)</td>
<td>(0.944)</td>
<td>(0.078)</td>
<td>(0.232)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>0.0118***</td>
<td>0.0089**</td>
<td>0.0124**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.080)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.087)</td>
<td>(0.210)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0134***</td>
<td>0.0124***</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.402)</td>
<td>(0.432)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.1926***</td>
<td>-0.1718***</td>
<td>-0.1665**</td>
<td>-0.1688**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.027)</td>
<td>(0.026)</td>
<td>(0.033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.0133*</td>
<td>-0.0173***</td>
<td>-0.0082</td>
<td>-0.0134**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.052)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.261)</td>
<td>(0.045)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Country fixed effects: Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
Industry fixed effects: Yes  Yes
Year fixed effects: Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
Firm fixed effects: Yes  Yes
Cluster at country level: Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes
Adjusted R<sup>2</sup>: 0.340  0.340  0.401  0.381
Panel B: Removal of countries with no change in equity market openness during the sample period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(2) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(3) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(4) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(5) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(6) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(7) TOT_ACC</th>
<th>(8) WC_ACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening 1</td>
<td>0.0260***</td>
<td>0.0269***</td>
<td>0.0163***</td>
<td>0.0172***</td>
<td>0.0199***</td>
<td>0.0213***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1/TotAssets_{t-1}$</td>
<td>0.0423***</td>
<td>0.0559***</td>
<td>-0.0222***</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
<td>0.1925***</td>
<td>0.2107***</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0899</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE_{t-1}</td>
<td>0.0253***</td>
<td>0.0094***</td>
<td>-0.0273***</td>
<td>0.0080**</td>
<td>0.0150***</td>
<td>0.0434***</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.0343***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta REV_{t,AR_{t}}$</td>
<td>0.0665***</td>
<td>0.0719***</td>
<td>0.0572***</td>
<td>0.0625***</td>
<td>0.0538***</td>
<td>0.0584***</td>
<td>0.0422***</td>
<td>0.0478***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t-1}</td>
<td>0.1232***</td>
<td>0.1351***</td>
<td>0.1328***</td>
<td>0.1399***</td>
<td>0.0884***</td>
<td>0.0940***</td>
<td>0.1109***</td>
<td>0.1148***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t}</td>
<td>-0.6018***</td>
<td>-0.5831***</td>
<td>-0.4062***</td>
<td>-0.3903***</td>
<td>-0.6441***</td>
<td>-0.6320***</td>
<td>-0.4283***</td>
<td>-0.4152***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t-1+t}</td>
<td>0.1028***</td>
<td>0.1140***</td>
<td>0.1387***</td>
<td>0.1459***</td>
<td>0.0574***</td>
<td>0.0608***</td>
<td>0.1117***</td>
<td>0.1168***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size_{t}</td>
<td>0.0087***</td>
<td>0.0089***</td>
<td>0.0057***</td>
<td>0.0050***</td>
<td>0.0184***</td>
<td>0.0181***</td>
<td>0.0178***</td>
<td>0.0170***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage_{t}</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>-0.0130</td>
<td>0.0233***</td>
<td>0.0303***</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0410***</td>
<td>0.0528***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth_{t}</td>
<td>0.0188***</td>
<td>0.0198***</td>
<td>0.0145***</td>
<td>0.0184***</td>
<td>0.0109***</td>
<td>0.0129***</td>
<td>0.0149***</td>
<td>0.0183***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std(sales)$_{t}$</td>
<td>-0.0077*</td>
<td>-0.0050</td>
<td>-0.0098***</td>
<td>-0.0040</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>-0.0033</td>
<td>-0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA$_{t-1}$</td>
<td>-0.0017***</td>
<td>-0.0023***</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>-0.0011**</td>
<td>-0.0023**</td>
<td>-0.0028**</td>
<td>-0.0013**</td>
<td>-0.0022**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0676</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP$_{t}$</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>-0.0240***</td>
<td>-0.0217**</td>
<td>-0.0230**</td>
<td>-0.0195**</td>
<td>-0.0332**</td>
<td>-0.0309**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation$_{t}$</td>
<td>0.0054**</td>
<td>0.0100***</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
<td>0.0068**</td>
<td>0.0103**</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
<td>0.0319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen$_{t}$</td>
<td>-0.0356*</td>
<td>-0.0351*</td>
<td>0.0196***</td>
<td>0.0156**</td>
<td>-0.0217</td>
<td>-0.0221</td>
<td>0.0171**</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp$_{t}$</td>
<td>-0.2060***</td>
<td>-0.2345***</td>
<td>-0.0631</td>
<td>-0.0320</td>
<td>-0.2598***</td>
<td>-0.2969***</td>
<td>-0.0316</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev$_{t}$</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>0.0142</td>
<td>-0.0226***</td>
<td>-0.0243***</td>
<td>0.0216**</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>-0.0128*</td>
<td>-0.0158**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster at country level</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table reports robustness tests that examine sample-wide earnings management in periods around the liberalization of equity market. Panel A presents the results of estimating the expanded specification detailed in equation (1) using event year t-1, t, t+1, which is 1 year before, current year, and one year after the opening year, respectively. Panel B presents the results of estimating the expanded specification detailed in equation (1) using liberalizing sample with removal of countries that has no change in equity market openness during the sample period. $TOT\_ACC$ is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. $WC\_ACC$ is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities. $Opening1$ is a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is in a country at the year of official equity market liberalization, otherwise zero, as equity market integration indicator. $Opening2$ is the change in equity controls index from Fernández et al. (2016). $1/TotAssets$ is the inverse of lagged total assets. $CFO_{t-1,t+1}$ are cash flows from operations in year $t-1$, $t$, and $t+1$ scaled by lagged total assets. $PPE$ is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. $\Delta REV-\Delta AR$ is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. $GDP$ is the natural logarithm of GDP per capita (current US$). $Inflation$ is inflation divided by GDP. $TradeOpen$ is trade openness measured as the sum of imports and exports of goods and services divided by GDP. $GovExp$ is general government final consumption expenditure divided by GDP. $FinDev$ is financial development measured as private credit to GDP. All other firm-level control variables are defined in Appendix following Godsell et al. (2017). Standard errors are robust to heteroscedasticity and clustered at country level. Robust p-values are reported in parentheses: ***, **, and * denote significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) TOT_AC</th>
<th>(2) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(3) TOT_AC</th>
<th>(4) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(5) TOT_AC</th>
<th>(6) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(7) TOT_AC</th>
<th>(8) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(9) TOT_AC</th>
<th>(10) WC_ACC</th>
<th>(11) TOT_AC</th>
<th>(12) WC_ACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening1*Extfin</td>
<td>0.0383***</td>
<td>0.0264***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2*Extfin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0158**</td>
<td>0.0151**</td>
<td>0.392***</td>
<td>0.2535***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.039)</td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.002)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening1*RD_Intensit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2857*</td>
<td>0.3366**</td>
<td>0.2317***</td>
<td>0.1752***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.051)</td>
<td>(0.042)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2*RD_Intensit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>0.0316***</td>
<td>0.0149***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.128)</td>
<td>(0.128)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.006)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening1*Inv_Intensit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0189**</td>
<td>0.0292***</td>
<td>0.4392***</td>
<td>0.203***</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.059)</td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2*Inv_Intensit</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0190</td>
<td>0.1391</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(0.037)</td>
<td>(0.144)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: External finance dependence
Notes: This table presents results examining the role of industry external finance dependence on the effect of equity market integration on firm’s earnings management. We use three measurements of industry external finance dependence. 
Exfin is the industry-level measure of the need for external equity finance and is calculated as the median fraction of capital expenditures not financed by cash flow from operations following Rajan & Zingales (1998). Similarly, R&D/AT is R&D intensity measured as the industry median of R&D spending scaled by period beginning total assets. Inv intensity is investment intensity measured as the industry median ratio of capital expenditure to net property, plant and equipment. All these three measures are calculated using all publicly traded firms in each SIC two-digit industry in the United States from 1980 to 1989. TOT_ACC is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. WC_ACC is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities. Opening1 is a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is in a country at the year of official equity market liberalization, otherwise zero, as equity market integration indicator. Opening2 is the change in equity controls index from Fernández et al. (2016). 1/TotAssets is the inverse of lagged total assets. CFO_{t-1,t+1} are cash flows from operations in year t−1, t, and t+1 scaled by lagged total assets. PPE is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. ∆REV-∆AR is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. All other control variables are defined in Appendix. Standard errors are robust to heteroscedasticity and clustered at country level. Robust p-values are reported in parentheses: ***, **, and * denote significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
### Table 6: Financial Constraints

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
<th>(10)</th>
<th>(11)</th>
<th>(12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opening1</strong></td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>0.0201</td>
<td>0.0136**</td>
<td>0.0140*</td>
<td>0.2187***</td>
<td>0.0368**</td>
<td>0.0268</td>
<td>0.0196***</td>
<td>0.0191***</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0045*</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KZ_t-1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WW_t-1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opening2</strong></td>
<td>0.1077***</td>
<td>0.0931***</td>
<td>0.0556***</td>
<td>0.0572***</td>
<td>0.0280***</td>
<td>0.0261***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SA_t-1</strong></td>
<td>0.0295***</td>
<td>0.0353***</td>
<td>0.0314***</td>
<td>0.0373***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WW_t-1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KZ_t-1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/TotAssets_{t-1}</td>
<td>0.124***</td>
<td>0.1195***</td>
<td>0.1327***</td>
<td>0.1271***</td>
<td>-0.0303</td>
<td>-0.0076</td>
<td>-0.0307</td>
<td>-0.0076</td>
<td>-0.0362*</td>
<td>-0.0116</td>
<td>-0.0384*</td>
<td>-0.0129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PPE_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.0286**</td>
<td>0.0062***</td>
<td>0.0286***</td>
<td>0.0061**</td>
<td>0.0293**</td>
<td>0.0052**</td>
<td>0.0204**</td>
<td>0.0051**</td>
<td>0.0202**</td>
<td>0.0052**</td>
<td>0.0284**</td>
<td>0.0060**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REV_t-ΔREV_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.0484***</td>
<td>0.0519***</td>
<td>0.0476***</td>
<td>0.0514***</td>
<td>0.0526***</td>
<td>0.0567***</td>
<td>0.0521***</td>
<td>0.0564***</td>
<td>0.0445***</td>
<td>0.0488***</td>
<td>0.0433***</td>
<td>0.0478***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CFO_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.1393***</td>
<td>0.1460***</td>
<td>0.1394***</td>
<td>0.1461***</td>
<td>0.1395***</td>
<td>0.1463***</td>
<td>0.1399***</td>
<td>0.1468***</td>
<td>0.1185***</td>
<td>0.1274***</td>
<td>0.1185***</td>
<td>0.1276***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CFO_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.4507**</td>
<td>0.4373**</td>
<td>0.4502***</td>
<td>0.4370**</td>
<td>0.4511***</td>
<td>0.4381***</td>
<td>0.4512***</td>
<td>0.4383***</td>
<td>0.4467***</td>
<td>0.4323***</td>
<td>0.4461***</td>
<td>0.4317***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CFO_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.1312***</td>
<td>0.1385***</td>
<td>0.1322***</td>
<td>0.1394***</td>
<td>0.1309***</td>
<td>0.1376***</td>
<td>0.1316***</td>
<td>0.1382***</td>
<td>0.1250***</td>
<td>0.1324***</td>
<td>0.1250***</td>
<td>0.1334***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.0099**</td>
<td>0.0109**</td>
<td>0.0105***</td>
<td>0.0112**</td>
<td>0.0056***</td>
<td>0.0057**</td>
<td>0.0057**</td>
<td>0.0057**</td>
<td>0.0051**</td>
<td>0.0052**</td>
<td>0.0052**</td>
<td>0.0053**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leverage_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.0191**</td>
<td>0.0258**</td>
<td>0.0202**</td>
<td>0.0271**</td>
<td>0.0129*</td>
<td>0.0185**</td>
<td>0.0139*</td>
<td>0.0195**</td>
<td>0.0266**</td>
<td>0.0307**</td>
<td>0.0282**</td>
<td>0.0323**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth_{t-1}</strong></td>
<td>0.0173***</td>
<td>0.0204***</td>
<td>0.0181***</td>
<td>0.0211***</td>
<td>0.0179***</td>
<td>0.0212***</td>
<td>0.0187***</td>
<td>0.0219***</td>
<td>0.0198**</td>
<td>0.0229**</td>
<td>0.0208**</td>
<td>0.0237**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Significance levels are indicated as * (p < 0.1), ** (p < 0.05), *** (p < 0.01), and **** (p < 0.001).
**Table 1:** Impact of Equity Market Integration on Firm’s Earnings Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Std(sales), Opening1</th>
<th>0.0152*** (0.001)</th>
<th>0.0105*** (0.001)</th>
<th>0.0167*** (0.002)</th>
<th>0.0177*** (0.001)</th>
<th>-0.0118*** (0.020)</th>
<th>-0.0065*** (0.029)</th>
<th>-0.0126*** (0.017)</th>
<th>-0.0070*** (0.029)</th>
<th>0.0131*** (0.001)</th>
<th>0.0077*** (0.008)</th>
<th>-0.0138*** (0.011)</th>
<th>-0.0082** (0.011)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOA,t-1</td>
<td>-0.0008 (0.103)</td>
<td>0.0016*** (0.095)</td>
<td>-0.0008 (0.161)</td>
<td>0.0016*** (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.0008 (0.155)</td>
<td>0.0016*** (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.0006 (0.220)</td>
<td>0.0014*** (0.001)</td>
<td>-0.0007 (0.022)</td>
<td>0.0015*** (0.001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP,</td>
<td>0.0018 (0.852)</td>
<td>0.00016 (0.886)</td>
<td>-0.0001 (0.994)</td>
<td>-0.00003 (0.979)</td>
<td>0.00038 (0.732)</td>
<td>-0.00039 (0.762)</td>
<td>-0.0012 (0.923)</td>
<td>-0.00012 (0.936)</td>
<td>0.00012 (0.820)</td>
<td>0.00009 (0.881)</td>
<td>0.00008 (0.993)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation,</td>
<td>0.0064 (0.104)</td>
<td>0.0110*** (0.008)</td>
<td>0.0524 (0.163)</td>
<td>0.0615* (0.093)</td>
<td>0.0657 (0.119)</td>
<td>0.0613 (0.138)</td>
<td>0.0625 (0.106)</td>
<td>0.0533* (0.096)</td>
<td>0.0551* (0.088)</td>
<td>0.0567* (0.081)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen,t-1</td>
<td>0.0121*** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.0108*** (0.013)</td>
<td>0.0090*** (0.007)</td>
<td>0.0124*** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.0114*** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.0116*** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.0104*** (0.003)</td>
<td>0.0096*** (0.003)</td>
<td>0.0084*** (0.001)</td>
<td>0.0085** (0.004)</td>
<td>0.0072** (0.039)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp,</td>
<td>0.1973*** (0.009)</td>
<td>-0.1783*** (-0.024)</td>
<td>-0.2061*** (-0.013)</td>
<td>-0.1874*** (-0.026)</td>
<td>-0.1504*** (-0.026)</td>
<td>-0.1330*** (-0.026)</td>
<td>-0.1838*** (-0.059)</td>
<td>-0.1670*** (-0.025)</td>
<td>-0.1534*** (0.041)</td>
<td>-0.1299** (0.034)</td>
<td>-0.1821** (0.066)</td>
<td>-0.1572* (0.031)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev,t-1</td>
<td>-0.0134* (0.061)</td>
<td>-0.0173** (0.042)</td>
<td>-0.0153** (0.004)</td>
<td>0.0197*** (0.004)</td>
<td>-0.0155** (0.045)</td>
<td>0.0196*** (0.008)</td>
<td>-0.0176** (0.031)</td>
<td>0.0221*** (0.003)</td>
<td>-0.0142* (0.073)</td>
<td>-0.0186** (0.012)</td>
<td>-0.0163* (0.051)</td>
<td>0.0212*** (0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster at country level</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>200,458</td>
<td>200,458</td>
<td>178,518</td>
<td>178,518</td>
<td>195,729</td>
<td>195,729</td>
<td>175,389</td>
<td>175,389</td>
<td>186,579</td>
<td>186,579</td>
<td>166,951</td>
<td>166,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R²</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table presents results examining the role of industry external finance dependence on the effect of equity market integration on firm’s earnings management. We use three measurements of firms’ financial constraints. S4 is the index in year t-1 constructed following Hadlock and Pierce (2010). WW is the index in year t-1 constructed following Whited and Wu (2006); KZ is the index in year t-1 constructed following Kaplan and Zingales (1997). TOT_ACC is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. WC_ACC is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities. Opening1 is a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is in a country at the year of official equity market liberalization, otherwise zero, as equity market integration indicator. Opening2 is the change in equity controls index from Fernández et al. (2016). 1/TotAssets is the inverse of lagged total assets. CFO,t-1,t,t+1 are cash flows from operations in year t-1, t, and t+1 scaled by lagged total assets. PPE is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. ∆REV-∆AR is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. All other control variables are defined in Appendix. Standard errors are robust to heteroscedasticity and clustered at country level. Robust p-values are reported in parentheses: ***, **, and * denote significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
Table 7: New Equity Issuances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) TOT ACC</th>
<th>WC_ACC</th>
<th>(2) TOT ACC</th>
<th>WC_ACC</th>
<th>(3) TOT ACC</th>
<th>WC_ACC</th>
<th>(4) TOT ACC</th>
<th>WC_ACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opening1*Eqissue</td>
<td>0.0176***</td>
<td>0.0150***</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2*Eqissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening1</td>
<td>0.0220**</td>
<td>0.0251***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eqissue</td>
<td>0.0042</td>
<td>0.0047*</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.0045*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/TotAssets_{t-1}</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
<td>(-0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0291***</td>
<td>0.0056***</td>
<td>-0.0291***</td>
<td>0.0055**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age_{t}</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.0181**</td>
<td>0.0135*</td>
<td>0.0190**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth_{t}</td>
<td>0.0177***</td>
<td>0.0209***</td>
<td>0.0184***</td>
<td>0.0215***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std(sales)_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0106***</td>
<td>-0.0051*</td>
<td>-0.0115***</td>
<td>-0.0058*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA_{t-1}</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0016***</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0017***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP_{t}</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation_{t}</td>
<td>0.0069*</td>
<td>0.0115***</td>
<td>0.0486</td>
<td>0.0576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen_{t}</td>
<td>0.0135***</td>
<td>0.0125***</td>
<td>0.0122***</td>
<td>0.0110***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp_{t}</td>
<td>-0.1918**</td>
<td>-0.1707***</td>
<td>-0.2020**</td>
<td>-0.1826**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0134*</td>
<td>-0.0174***</td>
<td>-0.0156**</td>
<td>-0.0201***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster at country level</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>199,927</td>
<td>199,927</td>
<td>178,035</td>
<td>178,035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R^2</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes: This table presents results examining the role of new equity issuances on the effect of equity market integration on firm’s earnings management. Eqissue indicates whether a firm is issuing equity during the subsequent year and is defined as the natural logarithm of shares outstanding (adjusted for stock splits) in year t+1 over shares outstanding (adjusted for stock splits) in year t. TOT_ACC is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. WC_ACC is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities. Opening1 is a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is in a country at the year of official equity market liberalization, otherwise zero, as equity market integration indicator. Opening2 is the change in equity controls index from Fernández et al. (2016). 1/TotAssets is the inverse of lagged total assets. CFO_{t-1,t+1} are cash flows from operations in year t−1, t, and t+1 scaled by lagged total assets. PPE is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. ∆REV-∆AR is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. All other control variables are defined in Appendix. Standard errors are robust to heteroscedasticity and clustered at country level. Robust p-values are reported in parentheses: ***, **, and * denote significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.
Table 8: BigN auditors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOT_ACC</td>
<td>WC_ACC</td>
<td>TOT_ACC</td>
<td>WC_ACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening1*BigN</td>
<td>-0.0228**</td>
<td>-0.0250**</td>
<td>-0.0173</td>
<td>-0.0237*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.026)</td>
<td>(0.146)</td>
<td>(0.090)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2*BigN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0277**</td>
<td>0.0315**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.15)</td>
<td>(0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening1</td>
<td>0.0282***</td>
<td>0.0311***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0072***</td>
<td>0.0057***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BigN</td>
<td>-0.0072***</td>
<td>-0.0057***</td>
<td>-0.0073***</td>
<td>-0.0056***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.131)</td>
<td>(0.719)</td>
<td>(0.109)</td>
<td>(0.713)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/TotAssets_{t-1}</td>
<td>-0.0314</td>
<td>-0.0071</td>
<td>-0.0327</td>
<td>-0.0077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.131)</td>
<td>(0.719)</td>
<td>(0.109)</td>
<td>(0.713)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0291***</td>
<td>0.0055***</td>
<td>-0.0291***</td>
<td>0.0054***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔREV_{t}−ΔAR_{t}</td>
<td>0.0525***</td>
<td>0.0569***</td>
<td>0.0519***</td>
<td>0.0565***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t-1}</td>
<td>0.1404***</td>
<td>0.1470***</td>
<td>0.1404***</td>
<td>0.1472***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t}</td>
<td>-0.4521***</td>
<td>-0.4391***</td>
<td>-0.4518***</td>
<td>-0.4389***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFO_{t+1}</td>
<td>0.1304***</td>
<td>0.1374***</td>
<td>0.1312***</td>
<td>0.1381***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size_{t}</td>
<td>0.0058***</td>
<td>0.0057***</td>
<td>0.0059***</td>
<td>0.0058***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leverage_{t}</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.0180**</td>
<td>0.0135*</td>
<td>0.0190**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.109)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.099)</td>
<td>(0.022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth_{t}</td>
<td>0.0182***</td>
<td>0.0214***</td>
<td>0.0190***</td>
<td>0.0220***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std(sales)_{t}</td>
<td>-0.0115***</td>
<td>-0.0060**</td>
<td>-0.0127***</td>
<td>-0.0068**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOA_{t-1}</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0016***</td>
<td>-0.0008</td>
<td>-0.0017***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.136)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.131)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP_{t}</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.820)</td>
<td>(0.831)</td>
<td>(0.908)</td>
<td>(0.911)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation_{t}</td>
<td>0.0072*</td>
<td>0.0119***</td>
<td>0.0522</td>
<td>0.0605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.082)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.174)</td>
<td>(0.109)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TradeOpen_{t}</td>
<td>0.0136***</td>
<td>0.0126***</td>
<td>0.0121***</td>
<td>0.0111***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GovExp_{t}</td>
<td>-0.1833**</td>
<td>-0.1608***</td>
<td>-0.1923**</td>
<td>-0.1704**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.035)</td>
<td>(0.025)</td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FinDev_{t}</td>
<td>0.0140**</td>
<td>-0.0180***</td>
<td>0.0164**</td>
<td>0.0208***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.022)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster at country level</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>200,409</td>
<td>200,409</td>
<td>178,513</td>
<td>178,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R^2</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes: This table presents results examining the role of BigN auditors on the effect of equity market integration on firm’s earnings management. BigN is a dummy variable that equals to 1 if a firm is audited by big auditors numbered from 01 to 08 in Capital IQ Global, otherwise zero. TOT_ACC is measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities minus depreciation expense. WC_ACC is working capital accruals, measured as the change in current assets minus the change in current liabilities. Opening1 is a dummy variable equals to 1 if a firm is in a country at the year of official equity market liberalization, otherwise zero, as equity market integration indicator. Opening2 is the change in equity controls index from Fernández et al. (2016). 1/TotAssets is the inverse of lagged total assets. CFO_{t-1,t,t+1} are cash flows from operations in year t-1, t, and t+1 scaled by lagged total assets. PPE is net property, plant, and equipment, scaled by lagged total assets. ∆REV-∆AR is the change in sales minus the change in accounts receivable, scaled by lagged total assets. All other control variables are defined in Appendix. Standard errors are robust to heteroscedasticity and clustered at country level. Robust p-values are reported in parentheses: ***, **, and * denote significance levels at 1%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.